

# **Uranium(VI) Uptake by Synthetic Calcium Silicate Hydrates**

### Jan Tits<sup>(1)</sup>, N. Macé<sup>(1)</sup>, M. Eilzer<sup>(2)</sup>, E. Wieland<sup>(1)</sup>, G. Geipel<sup>(2)</sup>

Paul Scherrer Institut(1) Forschungszentrum Dresden - Rossendorf(2)

2nd International Workshop MECHANISMS AND MODELLING OF WASTE/CEMENT INTERACTIONSLe Croisic, October 12-16 , 2008





- •Introduction
- $\bullet$  Batch sorption studies: Sorption isotherms
- Spectroscopic investigations: Time-resolved Laser Fluorescence Spectroscopy
- $\bullet$ Conclusions



### **Safety barrier systems of cementitious repositories**

#### **Dispo sal of Low- and intermediate level radioactive waste**

Cementitious materials are used for conditioning of the waste and for the construction of the engineered barrier system waste solidification







Container:concrete, m ortar, steel







### **C-S-H phases in cement**



#### **Calcium Silicate Hydrate (C-S-H) phases play an important role throughout the evolution of cement**



**Laboratory for Waste Management Nuclear Energy and Safety Department**

### Structure of C-S-H phases



 $\bullet$  O  $\bullet$  OH  $\bullet$  H<sub>2</sub>O ◯ Ca

Garbev et al., 2008



### **Recrystallisation of C-S-H phases from 45Ca uptake**





### **Batch sorption experiments** Sorption tests

#### Experimental set-up





### **Batch sorption experiments** Sorption isotherms



Non-linear sorption: 2-site langmuir isotherm: Site 1: 1.5x10<sup>-3</sup> mol/kg; site 2: >0.6 mol/kg

Effect of U(VI) speciation (pH) and C:S ratio (aqueous Ca concentration)



#### **Batch sorption experiments** Sorption isotherms



Solution composition is independent of the U(VI) sorption



#### **Batch sorption experiments** summary of the observations

- $\bullet$  C-S-H phases have a high recrystallisation rate providing opportunities for incorporation (SS formation)
- $\bullet$  U(VI) sorption on C-S-H phases:
	- Is non-linear
	- Depends on the U(VI) aqueous speciation (influence of pH)
	- Depends on the C-S-H composition (Ca concentration?)

# **Can these observations be described by a solid solution model?**



# **Batch sorption experiments**

Requirements to model solid – solutions :

- ◆ Mixing model: ideal or non-ideal
- **❖** Amount of recrystallized solid
	- $\triangleright$  From recrystallisation experiments with  $45Ca$
- **❖** End-members and end-member stoichiometries:
	- ¾ C-S-H end-members: (see e.g. presentations of D. Kulik and B. Lothenbach, S. Churakov,…)
	- ¾ U(VI) containing end-members ??

<sup>⇒</sup> Indications from spectroscopic investigations (EXAFS, TRLFS,...)



#### Time-resolved laser fluorescence spectroscopy of uranyl Fluorescence process Fluorescence process





#### **Time-resolved laser fluorescence spectroscopy**



¾Uranyl compounds fluoresce above 470 nm with characteristic vibronicprogressions originating mainly from the symmetric stretch vibration of the O=U=O moiety (minor contributions from assymetric stretch- and bending vibration)

 $\triangleright$  The position  $(\downarrow)$ , spacing  $(\triangle)$ ,

 $\mathbf{relative}\ \mathbf{intersities}\ (\mathbf{P_i}\mathbf{/P_{i+1}}) \ \mathbf{of}\ \mathbf{the}$ vibronic bands, lifetime, are sensitive to geometry of the uranyl and local chemical environment

 $\triangle$ O=U=O axial bond length, R<sub>UO</sub>:  $\mathbf{R}_{\text{UO}} = 10650\cdot[\Delta]^{‐2/3}+57.5$  (Bartlett & Cooney, 1989)



### **Time-resolved laser fluorescence spectroscopy** Comparison of spectra from sorbed and aqueous uranyl species



Increasing red shift (lower energy): Indication of weakening of the axial U=O bond, (lower stretch frequency )

Stronger interaction between U(VI) and the equatorial ligands











#### **Time-resolved laser fluorescence spectroscopy** Comparison with spectra of reference compounds





Uranophane α and β

Luminescence intensity (A.U.) Luminescence intensity (A.U.)



#### **Time-resolved laser fluorescence spectroscopy** Comparison with spectra of reference compounds

Axial U – O distance (Å) XRDEXAFS TRLFS Soddyite K-boltwooditeUranophane  $\alpha$ Uranophane β C-S-H (alkali-free) C-S-H (ACW) 1.78 (Demartin et al. 1983) 1.80 (Burns et al. 1998) 1.80 (Ginderow, et al. 1988) 1.82 (Viswanat han et al. 1986) 1.77(2) 1.80(2) 1.83(2) 1.81(2) 1.80(5) -1.86(5) 1.84(5) 1.9(1) 1.9(1)

**TRLFS: R<sub>uo</sub> = 10650·[Δ]<sup>-2/3</sup>+57.5** (Bartlett & Cooney, 1989)



### **Summary**

- ¾C-S-H phases have a high recrystallisation rate
- ¾ U(VI) sorption onto C-S-H phases is non-linear (at least 2 sorbed species)
	- increases with increasing C:S ratio
	- decreases with increasing pH

¾TRLFS can give indications about possible U(VI) containing end-members:

- Luminescence spectra of U(VI) sorbed on C-S-H phases are all similar
	- $\rightarrow$  similar geometry of the uranyl moiety (1 sorbed species) In contrast to information from batch sorption experiments
- Geometry of the sorbed uranyl is similar to the uranyl geometry in  $\alpha$ -uranophane (derived from spectral shape and peak position)
- Uncertainies on axial oxygen distances is still high (Future experiments at 4 K may improve the quality of this kind of information)



### **Acknowledgements**

Partial financial suppor<sup>t</sup> was provided by the Swiss National Cooperative for the Disposal of Radioactive Waste (Nagra) and by the European Communities (Actinet and MISUC)

# **Thank you for your attention**